UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,259	09/19/2003	Jason Dondlinger	92/D03-008A	1150
	7590 07/01/200 GHT & ZIMMERMAN	EXAMINER		
150 S. WACKER DRIVE SUITE 2100 CHICAGO, IL 60606			REDMAN, JERRY E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/667,259	DONDLINGER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jerry Redman	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 M	larch 2008.					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-16,34-41 and 43-51</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-16, 34-41, and 43-51</u> is/are rejecte	d.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	su.				
Attach mont(a)						
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3634

Status of the claims is as follows:

Claims 1, 17-33, and 42 have been cancelled; and

Claims 2-16, 34-41, and 43-51 (50-51 newly added) are herein addressed below.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 6-9, 11-16, 34-36, 38, 40, 41, 43, 44, 46, and 48-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ganzinotti (3,341,974) in view of Van Dyk (4,371,175). Ganzinotti ('974) discloses a door system comprising a door exposed to an atmosphere of air comprising a door member (2, fixed), a door panel (3 or 7) that is movable relative to the door member (2), an inflatable seal (8, along the top and side or along the side and bottom) between the door member (2) and the door panel (3 or 7) having an air inlet (8b), an air outlet (8c) to atmosphere via the seal (8). Ganzinotti ('974) further discloses a pressure reducer (10, via a pump/blower) connected to either the door member (2) or door panel (3 or 7) via flexible pipes (column 2, lines 40-45) and a heating element (12). Figure 3 of Ganzinotti ('974) discloses the release of air along the bottom portion of the door panel (3 or 7) and Figure 4 discloses the air moving back through a fluid mover (i.e., pump/blower/fan). Ganzinotti ('974) fails to disclose a seal with thermal insulation. Van Dyk (4,371,175) discloses an inflatable seal having thermal

Application/Control Number: 10/667,259

Art Unit: 3634

insulation (the inner layer). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the seal of Ganzinotti ('974) with thermal insulation as taught by Van Dyk (4,371,175) since thermal insulation provides more heat transfer and thereby increases the efficiency of the system by preventing the build-up of frost.

Page 3

Claims 2, 4, 5, 10, 37, 39, 45, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ganzinotti ('974) in view of Van Dyk (4,371,175) and further in view of Knap (4,150,509). Ganzinotti ('974) discloses a door system comprising a door exposed to an atmosphere of air comprising a door member (2, fixed), a door panel (3 or 7) that is movable relative to the door member (2), an inflatable seal (8, along the top and side or along the side and bottom) between the door member (2) and the door panel (3 or 7) having an air inlet (8b), an air outlet (8c) to atmosphere via the seal (8). Ganzinotti ('974) further discloses a pressure reducer (10, via a pump/blower) connected to either the door member (2) or door panel (3 or 7) via flexible pipes (column 2, lines 40-45) and a heating element (12). Figure 3 of Ganzinotti ('974) discloses the release of air along the bottom portion of the door panel (3 or 7) and Figure 4 discloses the air moving back through a fluid mover (i.e., pump/blower/fan). Ganzinotti ('974) fails to disclose the following: the panel translates, the door member is a sliding panel, and a floor associated with the door member. Knap ('509) discloses an inflatable door system for a pair of sliding/translating door panels within a floor/sill (1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

Application/Control Number: 10/667,259 Page 4

Art Unit: 3634

therethrough.

provide the door system of Ganzinotti ('974) with a pair of sliding panels guided along a floor as taught by Knap ('509) since two sliding panels provides a larger opening within a wall to be exposed thereby allowing greater movement of material and goods

The applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. The action above was corrected to state that by providing Ganzinotti with thermal insulation, the heat transfer is increased thereby preventing the build-up of frost. This combination does not teach away from the teachings of Ganzinotti ('974) but rather understands and solves the problem of frost build-up about a freezer opening. With respect to the applicant's arguments of Van Dyk, it appears that the applicant is arguing the references individually and not the combination thereof.

/Jerry Redman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3634